

National Center on Special Education Accountability Monitoring Work Plan

State/District: Wisconsin

Date Developed: August 17, 2004

Date for Review: October 2005

<p>Description of Concern/Need: One of the needs is to develop a process for selecting districts for on-site selection and monitoring. (3.1) There is a need to test the protocols for the priority areas. (3.1) There is a need to increase the involvement of stakeholders in the continuous improvement focused monitoring system. (2.1) There is a need to explore and examine the incentive and sanction process. (5) There is a need to provide training on data entry and data accuracy initially in the area of educational environments and to validate the implementation of the training. (1.3)</p>		<p>Priority Ranking:</p>
<p>Desired Results:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To have a method of selection of districts for on-site focused monitoring using enrollment groups and priority area(s). 2. Use protocols to test their comprehensiveness and utility to achieve the desired results. 3. Convene stakeholders on a quarterly basis. 	<p>Data Sources & Description:</p>	
<p>Measurement of Results:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Select districts using method of selection. 2. Written feedback from use of protocols to be used to review and/or revise the protocols. 3. Stakeholder group will create workgroup that will examine, explore, and report on recommendations for an incentive and sanction process. 4. Have stakeholders participate in the selection of the priority areas. 	<p>Measurement Review Dates:</p> <p>August 2004</p> <p>June 2005</p> <p>March 2005</p> <p>July 2005</p>	

Activities to reach results	Collaborators	Documentation of Activities	Implementation Dates
1.1 Discussion and consideration of selection methodology and implications for future years.	WI DPI NCSEAM GLARRC	Selection methods	August 2004
2.1 Review protocols from other states.	WI DPI NCSEAM GLARRC	Protocols from other states	September 2004
2.2 Have NCSEAM review exit protocol.	NCSEAM WI DPI	Written comments	September 2004
2.3 Solicit feedback from districts and monitoring teams on protocol items.	WI DPI	Written evaluation	June 2005
2.4 Verify that training on data entry and accuracy was implemented	WI DPI	Verification protocol	June 2005
3.1 Provide orientation and charge to the Stakeholder group.	WI DPI NCSEAM GLARRC	Agenda	November 2004
3.2 Update Stakeholder group on WI DPI monitoring activities for 2004-2005 and form workgroup for incentive/sanction process.	WI DPI NCSEAM GLARRC	Agenda Workgroup names	March 2005
3.3 Convene Stakeholder group to update and review/determine priority areas.	WI DPI NCSEAM GLARRC	Agenda	July 2005
Resources needed to reach result:			

Work Plan Developers:

Names:

Titles/Agencies: